

A pilot intervention to understand primary care physicians' perspectives towards survivorship care in order to improve communication between primary care and oncology

Background

- With number of cancer survivors projected to increase to 20.3 million by 2026, there is a demand for improved communication between oncologists and primary care providers (PCPs) to manage the needs of cancer survivors.
- Survivorship care plan (SCP) is a tool for communication between oncology and primary care.
- Most PCPs do not receive education during their training regarding the importance and utility of SCPs in the care of cancer survivors.

Purpose of the study:

• To examine the effect of a short, in-person, primary care-directed presentation to improve the communication between oncology and primary care and to explore barriers and facilitate the communication between providers and the patients

Methods

- A mixed methods approach was used to assess the knowledge base of PCPs and their staff regarding SCP, and to evaluate the effect of a brief presentation to understand primary care perspective towards improving communication between oncology and PCPs.
- Target population: PCPs (University of Arizona Family Medicine and Internal Medicine residents and attendings) their clinic support staff.
- A paper-based survey was developed to determine the base knowledge of PCPs and their staff regarding cancer survive and SCPs.
- A brief, in-person presentation followed by open discussion conducted by the study team with the residents as well as f in family and internal medicine departments.
- Post-intervention surveys were conducted to assess the effe the intervention.
- These surveys included closed and open-ended questions.

Sima Ehsani, MD, C.H. Hsu, PhD, Amit Algotar MD, PhD **University of Arizona Cancer Center**

Table 1: Baseline data

Variable	
Type of Provider	
	Faculty
Т	rainee physician
	Missing
How long in y	our current role?
	<
	3~
	5~1
	> 1
Have you rec	eived SCP?
	Not sure what a

Table 2: Proportion of correct responses in the pre and post-test

	Question	Pre-test (n=77)	Post-test (n=71)	Post – Pre
and	What information should SCP contain?	48 (62.34%)	67 (94.37%)	32.03%
	Who should receive SCP?	46 (59.74%)	62 (87.32%)	27.58%
seline vors	Which cancer patients must be provided with SCP?	7 (9.09%)	21 (29.58%)	29.49%
on was faculty	When should the patient be provided with SCP?	4 (5.19%)	7 (9.86%)	4.67%
fect of	Do you know where to find SCP in in the electronic medical record?	5 (6.49%)	63 (88.73%)	82.24%

	Frequency (%)		
	17 (22.08%)		
	59 (76.62%)		
Year 1	25 (42.37%)		
Year 2	17 (28.81%)		
Year 3	6 (10.17%)		
Missing	11 (18.64%)		
	1 (1.30%)		
3 years	68 (88.31%)		
~5 years	3 (3.90%)		
10 years	3 (3.90%)		
10 years	3 (3.90%)		
Yes	4 (5.19%)		
No	49 (63.64%)		
a SCP is	24 (31.17%)		
	-		

- staff).
- what an SCP was.
- 59.7% respectively.





Results

A total of 96 individuals were surveyed (77 providers and 19

In the pre-intervention survey, 63% of providers indicated they had never received an SCP and 31% indicated they did not know

The proportion of participants providing correct answers to questions in the pre-survey asking them about appropriate content and recipients of the SCP were 62.3% and

Comparative proportions of the same questions in the post surveys were 94.3% and 84.3%, demonstrating an effect size of 32% and 27.6% respectively.

Response to open ended questions could be classified in three groups: 1) To extend direct communication between oncology and primary care to increase awareness, 2) SCP to be tailored to the provider and 3) To utilize the capacities of the electronic medical record system to improve communication between oncology and primary care.

Conclusion

• There is lack of awareness among primary care providers regarding the role of survivorship care plans.

• PCPs are keen to improve communication with oncology in order to optimize survivorship care